

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,888	02/24/2004	Akira Suzuki	492322016900	9967
25227 7590 08/07/2007 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			SMITH, BRADLEY	
SUITE 400	A 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, V			2891	
		· ·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			08/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/784,888	SUZUKI ET AL.			
Offic	ce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bradley K. Smith	2891			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHICHEVER - Extensions of tim after SIX (6) MON - If NO period for re - Failure to reply w Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 MTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Supply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 July 2007</u> .						
/ <del></del>						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Cl	aims					
4a) Of th 5)⊠ Claim(s 6)⊠ Claim(s 7)⊠ Claim(s	1 1-3,5-18 is/are pending in the applicate above claim(s) 1,2,5,8,9 and 11-16 of 17 is/are allowed. 1 3,10 and 18 is/are rejected. 1 6 and 7 is/are objected to. 2 are subject to restriction and/o	is/are withdrawn from considerati	on.			
Application Pape	ers					
10)∭ The drav Applican Replacei	cification is objected to by the Examine ving(s) filed on is/are: a) acc t may not request that any objection to the ment drawing sheet(s) including the correct or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) D Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) iil Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: search note	Pate Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/784,888

Art Unit: 2891

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 10 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young et al (US 5,994,204) in view of Moon et al. (US 5,719,085). Regarding claims 1 and 18, Young disclose bonding a supporting member (312) to a first surface of a semiconductor wafer (302) on which a semiconductor element is formed; forming a groove in the semiconductor wafer by a first etching of a second surface of the semiconductor wafer, the second surface being opposite to the first surface (see figure 3A-3E). Young et al. fails to disclose the rounding of a corner of groove by the second etching of the surface wherein the second etching comprises wet etching. However, Moon et al. disclose the formation of a round corner by a second etching wherein the second etching comprises wet etching (see figures 3d and 3e). With regards to claim 10 Moon et al disclose removing a foreign substance (silicon oxide) from the trench. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Young and Moon because the rounding of the corner would help to reduce leakage current (see Moon column 5 lines 17 and 18).

### Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/784,888

Art Unit: 2891

Claim 17 is allowed.

Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art neither teaches nor suggests Wet etching comprises dropping an etching solution on the second surface in which the groove is formed and spinning the semiconductor wafer to spread the etching solution over the second surface (claims 6 and 7), forming second insulation on the rounded corners removing parts of the first and second insulation films at an etching step to expose at least part of the wiring ( claim 17).

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3, 10, and 18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley K. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on 571-272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,888 Page 4

Art Unit: 2891

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000

Bradley (K Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 2891

\*\*\*